MEETING NOTICE

COMMITTEE: BSEP Planning & Oversight Committee

DATE: Tuesday, April 10, 2012

LOCATION: Malcolm X School Library TIME: 7:00

1731 Prince St. Gavel down: 7:15

CHAIRPERSON: Chris Martin

AGENDA

7:15 1. Call to Order & Site Reports

2. Establish the Quorum/ Approve Agenda

3. Chairs' Comments (Chris Martin & Elisabeth Hensley)

4. Public Comment

7:35 5.

[Discussion] 7:45 6.

[Action] 8: 20 7.

William Huyett, Superintendent

8:50 8. Update on Program Evaluation Department and Q&A on next steps

for Program Evaluation in 2012-13

Debbi d'Angelo, Director, Berkeley Evaluation & Assessment

9:10 9. Update on the BSEP Manager Position (Thyberg & Huyett)

[Action] 9:20 10.

9:30 11. Adjournment

Upcoming P&O Meetings:

April 17: Subcommittee Meeting:

<u>P&O Committee Members Present</u>: Keira Armstrong,Washington (Alt) Mariane FermeBerkeley H05.91(a 4.9 .24 Tm [(K)-4Tf 0.99809 0A)1.13796(I)73

community around the planning role of this committeers us the planning role of BUSD's paid professionals, there was no controversy surrount diagram as an oversight working group.

5.

Providing BSEP staffing for ROP class sections is bulle-funding. He noted that, since there are so f ROP classes, removing the ROP classes would marketise impact.

Proposal to add FTE to the Universal Learning Suppt Systems Program (ULSS) Lindheim said that if the administration had conded that the ULSS program was important for students' success, he questioned the P&O Committee itimacy to contradict or to override the District on that issue.

6. Discussion of Administration's Recommendation of BSEP Class Size Funds in FY 2012-13

Issue: The proposed change in the way the secondamnrollment would be counted for purposes

These changes are needed in order for the General Itō cut its portion of classroom teacher cost, as part of its Cut Target of \$1.4 on if rom next year's budget, due to the dire state of the California budget.

Other Comments:

- The effect of the Superintendent's recommendatsdikely to be an additional 1-2 students in each (non-P.E.) class at BHS.
- BHS class size varies significantly, depending then time of year it is measured. Fall enrollment is highest. In spring, class size typically drops to the size of the size of
- BHS enrollment is harder to project than Kindergarenrollment.

- ULSS is not a Special Ed program.
- The goal of ULSS is to help all students overconhatever barriers they may have to learning. It serves the entire range of BUSD students, mophs identified Special Ed students.
- Two years ago, when it was first suggested that \$JR\$ be funded (partially) by BSEP Program Support, Thyberg had reservations about it, and theresor, Nancy Hoeffer, also had reservations. However now, having listened to the program and its effectiveness, including a major improvement in BUSD's STAR tessults which has been attributed to ULSS, she has changed her mind. Much research sayeath intervention is the best, and she sees the benefit of this approach.
- She believes that ULSS/RtI does meet the criteria fogram Support in the Class Size portion of the BSEP Measure.
- The total ULSS FTE is 22 FTE for the K-8 programment FTE @ K-5 and 11 FTE @ 6-8. The Superintendent's recommendation that BSEP CSR <u>Mainf</u>dof that FTE (5.5 at each level = 11.0 FTE). Other teachers participate in the ULSS/Robbpam as well.

Regarding the Special Ed budget, Thyberg noted Special Ed revenue covers only about half of what BUSD spends on the Special Ed program. The btalf of the cost (approximately \$13 million) is paid by the district's General Fund.

Jay Nitschke distributed a document showing theoefof lower class sizes on middle school math scores from 2007 through 2011. Eighth grade mlasses were lowered to 20:1 beginning in 2006-07; 7th grade math classes were lowered to 20:1 in 2007(H36)nors classes are larger.) The number of eighth graders scoring Proficient on the CaliforStandards Test (CST) increased from 30% in 2007 to 55% in 2011. For graders the change was 50% in 2007 to over 702/01/1. (All 8th graders now take Algebra, except for a few who take metry.)

A straw poll was taken.

- 6 were in favor of the Superintendent's recommendat
- 7 were opposed to the Superintendent's recommendati

When asked, 4 Reps said they had problems with the firement of secondary enrollment for BSEP CSR purposes, and 2 Reps said they had problem in the since the secondary enrollment for BSEP funding for ULSS FTE at the middle schools, from CSR Program Support.

Thyberg noted that the P&O Committee could resptort be Superintendent's recommendation by making its own recommendation, for example, that take had funds from CSR should be allocated for Lit Coaches, or middle school Counseling, or ULS6rdination. She added that the role of the P&O Committee is to ask "Is this an appropriate us BSEP funds, as we interpret the Measure?"

6. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned by acclamation at 9:55 p.

committed to RtI, that RtI is data-driven, basespecific needs of students, and that it needed to be expanded.

5. Universal Learning Support System (ULSS)/Respose to Intervention (RtI) in Practice Neil Smith, Assistant Superintendent, Educational Services
Neil Smith said that several years ago, while BU&Bs developing its ULSS program, the rest of the country had been developing a similar prograffied Response to Intervention (RtI).
Berkeley has since adjusted ULSS to bring it in with the national RtI. Because ULSS/RtI is included in the administration's proposal for BSEI SS Size funds, Smith invited two teachers to present a view of ULSS/RtI at the elementary mandable school levels.

Mary Cazden

Mild/Moderate Special Education Teacher/ULSS Scheordinator, Jefferson Elementary Cazden said she had been a district parent foeal syand a district teacher for nine years. Cazden said her belief in Rtl stemmed from persemplerience. She explained that when her now college-student son was in elementary schold LaD, he had struggled academically and teachers were not able to provide adequate supposed that times had changed for the better and eleven years later when BUSD teachers when first-grade child was struggling with reading, there was a different approach, alast is immediately implemented a remediation plan that had her child reading at grade level in with ree months.

Cazden explained that RtI at Jefferson comprises that includes an educational specialist, and a reading specialist, who work collaborative the classroom teachers to develop an individual program for each child. She said that efferson, huge gains have been made in academic and socio-emotional development since that effects are the controlled that ef

av'8208(f)2.36903(o)-0.9564179(v)-0.9125(a)3..53597(n)

Mary Wrenn (Willard)

Speech & Language Therapist/Special Education Deparent Chair, Willard Middle School Wrenn said she had worked at Willard for 18 years said the school's overall vision was one where all teachers are responsible for all kids, that support services should involve everyone. She added that although the school believes in since education, they also provide specialized

BSEP P&O Committee Minutes 2-28-12